

2010 Low-Moderate-Income Summary Data for Ohio Non-Entitlement Counties and Places

Area	Low Pop	Low-Mod Pop	LMI Universe	LMI Percent
Highland County	10,070	16,840	43,155	39.02%
Brushcreek township	245	675	1,230	54.88%
Buford CDP	170	170	280	60.71%
Clay township	360	850	1,800	47.22%
Concord township	555	620	1,550	40.00%
Dodson township	600	1,080	2,615	41.30%
Fairfield township	565	1,165	3,730	31.23%
Greenfield city	1,405	2,455	4,360	56.31%
Hamer township	175	255	795	32.08%
Highland village	70	170	210	80.95%
Highland Holiday CDP	180	225	395	56.96%
Hillsboro city	2,260	3,010	6,425	46.85%
Jackson township	105	235	1,015	23.15%
Leesburg village	405	665	1,495	44.48%
Liberty township	2,815	3,950	10,070	39.23%
Lynchburg village	415	750	1,660	45.18%
Madison township	1,630	2,920	6,565	44.48%
Marshall township	325	550	1,300	42.31%
Mowrystown village	65	85	380	22.37%
New Market township	555	730	1,795	40.67%
Paint township	1,465	2,250	4,555	49.40%
Penn township	95	345	1,665	20.72%
Rocky Fork Point CDP	245	275	550	50.00%
Salem township	65	155	855	18.13%
Sinking Spring village	75	75	140	53.57%
Union township	175	440	1,450	30.34%
Washington township	100	315	850	37.06%
Whiteoak township	240	300	1,310	22.90%

Source: <https://www.hudexchange.info/manage-a-program/acs-low-mod-summary-data/>

*Place located in multiple counties, which has historically had the largest proportion of population in the county it's listed under. These places will only be eligible for CDBG funds directly from the county it's listed under.

** Place located in multiple counties that has historically had a larger proportion of population in a neighboring county. These places are only eligible for CDBG funds directly from the neighboring county with the largest proportion of the places population.

2010 LMI Census Tract and Block Group Data for Ohio Non-Entitlement Counties

Area	Low Pop	Low-Mod Pop	LMI Universe	LMI Percent
Highland County				
Census Tract 9544	895	1,425	4,270	33.37%
Block Group 1	395	690	1,825	37.81%
Block Group 2	190	285	695	41.01%
Block Group 3	215	315	580	54.31%
Block Group 4	95	135	1,170	11.54%
Census Tract 9545	885	1,840	3,765	48.87%
Block Group 1	265	530	720	73.61%
Block Group 2	210	530	980	54.08%
Block Group 3	320	450	855	52.63%
Block Group 4	90	330	1,210	27.27%
Census Tract 9546	830	1,680	5,890	28.52%
Block Group 1	315	440	1,240	35.48%
Block Group 2	175	535	1,445	37.02%
Block Group 3	150	425	1,645	25.84%
Block Group 4	190	280	1,560	17.95%
Census Tract 9547	1,035	1,915	5,745	33.33%
Block Group 1	255	580	1,570	36.94%
Block Group 2	250	405	820	49.39%
Block Group 3	365	700	2,265	30.91%
Block Group 4	165	230	1,090	21.10%
Census Tract 9548	1,445	1,845	4,135	44.62%
Block Group 1	390	605	895	67.60%
Block Group 2	530	540	1,595	33.86%
Block Group 3	90	145	535	27.10%
Block Group 4	435	555	1,110	50.00%
Census Tract 9549	1,120	1,700	3,835	44.33%
Block Group 1	255	550	1,350	40.74%
Block Group 2	140	190	710	26.76%
Block Group 3	255	420	750	56.00%
Block Group 4	470	540	1,025	52.68%
Census Tract 9550	1,535	2,350	4,085	57.53%
Block Group 1	500	610	1,115	54.71%
Block Group 2	405	595	1,000	59.50%
Block Group 3	630	1,145	1,970	58.12%
Census Tract 9551	1,375	2,390	6,350	37.64%
Block Group 1	745	1,115	2,160	51.62%
Block Group 2	110	160	1,450	11.03%
Block Group 3	215	625	1,160	53.88%
Block Group 4	305	490	1,580	31.01%
Census Tract 9552	950	1,695	5,070	33.43%
Block Group 1	185	350	1,055	33.18%
Block Group 2	260	350	1,360	25.74%
Block Group 3	300	770	1,680	45.83%
Block Group 4	205	225	975	23.08%